

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/474,418	12/29/1999	RONALD G. KENNEDY	GEM-30834	2216
27061 75	590 12/05/2003	EXAMINER		
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (GEMS)			VAUGHN JR, WILLIAM C	
14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD MEQUON, WI 53097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2143	14
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	, //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Od/174,418 KENNEDY, RONALD G.			PRG				
## Examiner Art Unit 2143 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(n). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If the period for reply is coefficial show, the meanimum statutery period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTH(S) from the realing date of this communication of time in the state that the control of the specifical shows the meanimum statutery period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTH Storm the realing date of this communication of this communication of the communication of t		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
William C. Vaughn, Jr. 2143	· ·	09/474,418	KENNEDY, RONALD G.				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of term may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limited filled that the statistics of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limited filled that the statistics of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limited filled that the statistics of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limited filled fill	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Experience of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(s), is no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Experience of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(s), is no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Experience of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(s), is no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 31X (8) MONTHS from the mailing olde of this communication of the provision of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the communication of the communicatio							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited build with the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period in reply specified above is the hostmann of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any assumed petent term ediplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proving filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached defice of calim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification		appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.7121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Tone the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 si	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard property of the maximum statutory per - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atule, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 August 2003.					
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
4)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	Disposition of Claims						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	drawn from consideration.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Aktachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·					
12)		Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nents have been received. The transfer of the certified copies not the certification that the certification has been certified copies in the certification has been certified to the certification has been certified copies.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	reference was included in the first sentence of	л ше ѕреспісацоп от іп ап Ар	phication Data Stiest, 37 CFR 1.70.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) 5) Notice of Ir					

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to the Request for Reconsideration received on 22 August 2003.

- 2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 3. The application has been examined. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canfield, II et al. (Canfield), U.S. Patent No. 5,897,498 in view of Eastvold et al. (Eastvold), U.S. Patent No. 6,487,513.
- 6. Regarding claim 1, Canfield discloses a remote servicing communication system for in-field product comprising: an in-field product at a customer site that is not readily capable of direct communication with the on-line center; at least one portable service interface operable with the in-field product at the customer site and having software for communication with the on-line center [see Canfield, Col. 2, lines 52-63]; a first communications link connecting the portable service interface to the on-line center [see Canfield, Col. 4, lines 58-67, Col. 5, lines 1-46]; and a second communications link connecting the portable service interface with the in-field product to complete a connection between the in-field product and the on-line center through the

• •

Art Unit: 2143

portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 3, lines 19-67, Col. 4, lines 1-67 and Col. 6, lines 7-67]. However, Canfield does not explicitly disclose at least one on-line center having access to service software at a centralized facility so as to service in-field product remotely.

- 7. In the same field of endeavor, Eastvold discloses (e.g., diagnostic test unit network and system). Eastvold discloses at least one on-line center having access to service software at a centralized facility so as to service in-field product remotely (Eastvold teaches that a field engineer notebook (laptop) communicates with the DTUs through a system monitor to run diagnostics and has the capability to receive software revision information. Eastvold further teaches that the system monitor is capable of downloading software modules to the DTUs), [see Eastvold, Col. 9, lines 40-52, Col. 11, lines 15-25 and Col. 16, lines 40-49].
- 8. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Eastvold's teachings of a diagnostic test unit network and system with the teachings of Canfield, for the purpose of running diagnostics on a system remotely. By this rationale claim 1 is rejected.
- 9. Regarding claim 2, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the connection between the in-field product and the on-line center is utilized to conduct a diagnostic evaluation of the infield product [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 5-14]. By this rationale claim 2 is rejected.
- 10. Regarding claim 3, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the in-field product is a medical image scanner and the on-line center contains service software designed for utilization with a wide variety of medical image scanners, and wherein after the portable service interface sends a data message identifying the medical image scanner, the on-line center selects service software based on the medical image scanner identification and automatically downloads the

Art Unit: 2143

selected service (The Examiner takes Official Notice, (see MPEP 2144.03)): software to the medical image scanner or executes the selected service software from the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 5-37]. By this rationale claim 3 is rejected.

- Regarding claim 4, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the connection between the in-field product and the on-line center is utilized to access data from the on-line center [see Canfield, Col. 6, lines 26-67]. By this rationale claim 4 is rejected.
- 12. Regarding claim 5, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the accessed data from the on-line center includes at least one of a configuration file, a golden file, a protocol and a software program [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-27]. By this rationale claim 5 is rejected.
- 13. Regarding claim 6, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the portable service interface sends a data message signal to the on-line center identifying the in-field product such that the on-line center selects service software specifically designed for the in-field product [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 27-34]. By this rationale claim 6 is rejected.
- 14. Regarding claim 7, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the second communication link connecting the portable service interface to the in-field product is one of a serial cable and a local area network cable [see Canfield, Figure 1, item 31]. By this rationale claim 7 is rejected.
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Canfield further discloses the system wherein the portable service interface is a laptop computer having loaded therein remote resource communication software to automatically communicate with the on-line center and transfer data therebetween [see Canfield, Col. 3, 20-67]. By this rationale claim 8 is rejected.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 9, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the connection to the online center provides access to a remote on-line support engineer to provide real time assistance with the in-field product through the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 5-35]. By this rationale claim 9 is rejected.

Page 5

- 17. Regarding claim 10, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses a method of providing remote service communication between an on-line center and an in-field product at a customer site where the in-filed product is not readily capable of direct communication with the on-line center comprising: loading on-line center connectivity software on a portable service interface [see rejection of claim 1, supra]; connecting the portable service interface to the in-field product [see rejection of claim 1, supra]; electronically connecting the on-line center with the portable service interface [see rejection of claim 1, supra]; accessing data from the in-field with the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-27]; and interfacing between the on-line center and the in-field product with the portable service interface [see rejection of claim 1, supra]. By this rationale claim 10 is rejected.
- 18. Regarding claim 11, Canfield-Eastvold discloses further comprising the steps of transmitting data identifying the in-field product to the on-line center for evaluating and servicing the in-field product [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 26-57], and automatically selecting service software at the on-line center [see Canfield, Col. 7, lines 25-49], and generating in-field product evaluation information and displaying the in-field product evaluation information on the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-57]. By this rationale claim 11 is rejected.

Art Unit: 2143

- 19. Regarding claim 12, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the interfacing step includes accessing data from the on-line center including at least one of a configuration file, a golden file, a protocol and a software program [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-27]. By this rationale claim 12 is rejected.
- 20. Regarding claim 13, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the in-field product is a medical image scanner [see Canfield, Figure 3, Col. 7, lines 25-49] and further comprising automatically selecting at the on-line center service software based on a specific identification of the medical image scanner [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 25-62]. By this rationale claim 13 is rejected.
- 21. Regarding claim 14, Canfield-Eastvold discloses further comprising the step of automatically checking whether a field service engineer requests an analysis/evaluation, and if so, transmitting system data to the in-field product and performing an analysis/evaluation of the in-field product [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-27]. By this rationale claim 14 is rejected.
- 22. Regarding claim 15, Canfield-Eastvold discloses further comprising displaying results of the analysis/evaluation so that the field service engineer can monitor the analysis/evaluation [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-27]. By this rationale claim 15 is rejected.
- 23. Regarding claim 16, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the connecting step further includes connecting the portable service interface to the in-field product by one of a serial cable and a local area network cable (Canfield teaches the system having a serial port for converting digital signals from the serial port into analog signals suitable for transmission over telephone lines), [see Canfield, Col. 3, lines 30-65]. By this rationale claim16 is rejected.

Art Unit: 2143

- 24. Regarding claim 17, Canfield-Eastvold discloses further comprising the steps of automatically checking to see whether a field service engineer requests access to remote resource information, and if so, downloading the remote resource information to the in-field product [see Canfield, Col. 7, lines 25-47]. By this rationale claim 17 is rejected.
- 25. Regarding claim 18, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses further comprising the step of displaying remote resource information to the in-field service engineer [see Canfield, Col. 7, lines 62-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-57]. By this rationale claim 18 is rejected.
- 26. Regarding claim 19, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the electronically accessing step occurs through a global computer network system [see Canfield, Col. 1, lines 14-29, Col. 3, lines 19-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-8]. By this rationale claim 19 is rejected.
- 27. Regarding claim 20, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein the electronically connecting step further includes providing access to a remote on-line support engineer to provide real time assistance with the in-field product through the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 27-34]. By this rationale claim 20 is rejected.
- Regarding claim 21, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses a method of servicing an in-field product not readily capable of direct communication with a remote on-line center comprising: providing a portable service interface having software for communication with an on-line center connecting the portable interface [see Canfield, Col. 2, lines 52-63]; electronically connecting the on-line center with the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 4, lines 57-67 and Col. 5, lines 1-45] from the portable service interface, selecting at least one servicing function available from the on-line center resulting in at least one of the following: interfacing the infield product with the on-line center through the portable service interface to conduct a

Art Unit: 2143

diagnostic evaluation of the in-field product [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-35]; downloading information to the in-field product from the on-line center through the portable service interface [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-57]; and displaying one of the diagnostic evaluation and the downloaded information on the portable service interface as a result of the selecting step [see rejection of claims 1 and 10, supra]. By this rationale claim 21 is rejected.

- 29. Regarding claim 22, Canfield-Eastvold further discloses wherein in-field product is a medical image scanner [see Canfield, Col. 7, lines 25-49] and further comprising the steps of transmitting a data message identifying the medical image scanner from the portable service interface to the on-line center [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 35-49], automatically selecting service software at the on-line center based on the medical image scanner identification, and automatically downloading the selected service software to the medical image scanner [see Canfield, Col. 5-57]. By this rationale claim 22 is rejected.
- 30. Regarding claim 23, Canfield-Eastvold discloses further comprising the steps of automatically checking whether a field service engineer requests an analysis/evaluation, and if so, transmitting system data to the in-field product and performing an analysis/evaluation of the in-field product, and displaying results of the analysis/evaluation so that the field service engineer can monitor the analysis/evaluation [see Canfield, Col. 8, lines 15-27]. By this rationale claim 23 is rejected.
- 31. Regarding claim 24, Canfield-Eastvold discloses further comprising the steps of automatically checking to see whether a field service engineer requests access to remote resource information, and if so, downloading the remote resource information to the in-field

Art Unit: 2143

product, and displaying a remote resource information to the in-field service engineer [see rejection of claims 10, 14, 15 and 21, supra]. By this rationale claim 24 is rejected.

Double Patenting

32. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

33. Claims 1-24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim1-44 of Application No. 09/199,506. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

Art Unit: 2143

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is the same as the context of the cited claims of the U.S. Patent Application 09/199,506.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Vaughn, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 306-9129. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

William C. Vaughn, J&

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2143

27 November 2003